

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,344	06/22/2001	Atsushi Tomita	AICA-24-US	8899
75	05/09/2003			
Davis & Bujold, P.L.LC. 500 N. Commerical Street 4th Floor			EXAMINER	
			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
Manchester, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	13
		DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003		1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. OPJ 888344 Townthethal Saminer Searniner Application No. The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE Three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATI OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a neply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTH from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stantory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period risk, by default, sopie SX (8) MONTH from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDOHED (8) U.S.C, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any semed patent term subjectivents. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on			, -	
## Comparison			Applicant(s). Tomita	7-7-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATION: A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATION: - Extensions of time may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, such period shall by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply is specified above, such period shall by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply is specified above, such period shall by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any seried patient from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any seried patient from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status - Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Office Action Summary		Group Art	
AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE Lineary MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATIOR THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet be	eneath the corresponde	nce address—
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stabutie, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this continuincation, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent tem adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status W. Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-4149, Paper No(s).	Period for Reply			
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SN (6) MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDORS U.S. C. § 133, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on		O EXPIRE Three	MONTH(S) FROM TH	IE MAILING DATE
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least 1 less than the period for reply will, by default 1 less to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail the period for reply will, by start 1 less 1 les	reply within the statutory min lt, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro atute, cause the application t	imum of thirty (30) days will bo om the mailing date of this cor o become ABANDONED (35 U	e considered timely. mmunication. J.S.C. § 133).
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	Status	al. a = a	a 5	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Claim(s)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	CM 25 , 200	33	· ·
Disposition of Claims Claim(s)	This action is FINAL .			
claim(s)				ts is closed in
Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. claim(s) is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Aknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1	Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)	Claim(s) S - 19		is/are pending in the	e application.
Claim(s)	Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)	□ Claim(s)	•	is/are allowed.	
claim(s)	Claim(s) S - 1 9	is/are rejected.	_ is/are rejected.	
requirement The proposed drawing correction, filed on is _approved _ disapproved. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). All _ Some* _ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: Interview Summary, PTO-413 _ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 _ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	□ Claim(s)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	iction or election
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The		is	•	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: □ □ **Certified copies not received: □ □ **Ittachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1			□ disapproved.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: **Ittachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO–1449, Paper No(s). Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO–892	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	cted to by the Examiner		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: **Certified copies not received:** Intachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO–1449, Paper No(s). Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO–892	·			
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1 	•			
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: □ Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1 		under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	⊢(d).	
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: □ Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1 		*		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1			•	
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		•		<i>‡</i>
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1			(a))	
□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1	- 1	-		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1	Attachment(s)			
	☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	nterview Summary, PTO-4	13	
	□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	lotice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □ Other	☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94			
Office Action Summary	Office A	ction Summary		

Application/Control Number: 09/888,344

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7-10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support for the styrene block copolymer having an average molecular weight (mw) or weight average molecular weight is not apparent. As originally disclosed and claimed the styrene block copolymer has a number average molecular weight (Mn). See the specification at page 6, lines 2-7 and original claims 1 and 3.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hansen. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office Action. As the language "consisting essentially of "excludes only components

Application/Control Number: 09/888,344 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

that materially affect the novel and basic characteristics of the claimed invention, it is not clear that "consisting essentially of" excludes the tackifier of the reference. A tackifier is disclosed for use in the invention at page 11 of the specification. Examples 1 and 4, containing a tackifier, have a compression set of less than 90% and easy peelability. Thus, a tackifier does not appear to materially affect the novel and basic characteristics of the claimed invention. See *In re Janakirana-Rao* 137 USPQ 893 (1963). With respect to the block A compatible resin used to modify the polyphenylene ether of the reference, the modified polyphenylene ether of the invention is prepared by blending polyphenylene ether with another resin such as styrene resin and the like. See the specification at page 7. Finally, it is not clear how a hot melt sealing composition that is used to assemble parts distinguishes over a hot melt adhesive.

Claims 5-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO '396. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office Action. As the language "consisting essentially of "excludes only components that materially affect the novel and basic characteristics of the claimed invention, it is not clear that "consisting essentially of" excludes the tackifier of the reference. A tackifier is disclosed for use in the invention at page 11 of the specification. Examples 1 and 4, containing a tackifier, have a compression set of less than 90% and easy peelability. Thus, a tackifier does not appear to materially affect the novel and basic characteristics of the claimed invention. See *In re Janakirana-Rao* 137 USPQ 893 (1963). Further, it is not clear how a hot melt sealing composition that is used to assemble parts distinguishes over a hot melt adhesive.

Claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '396. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office Action and maintained for reasons discussed above.

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

P. Short
May 8, 2003
Phone (703) 308-2395
Fax (703) 872-9311

PATRICIA A SHORT

Popul Ce Mt